

# Principal Adverse Impact Portfolio Report

Portfolio Name	Number of Constituents	Corporate Eligibility	Sovereign Eligibility
Portfolio			
Digital Stars Europe Ex UK	150	97.11%	0.00%
Benchmark			
MSCI Europe ExUK 20220630	346	99.81%	0.00%

Report as of 14 July 2022, 12:47 UTC

# **PAIs Overview**

In this section we show the headline numbers for each PAI compared to the benchmark (if selected). Coverage level can vary per indicator. Please refer to Excel output for a breakdown of coverage per indicator.

### Mandatory Indicators (Regulation Table 1)

Holding Typ	pes	PAI Name	Metric (Unit)	Portfolio	Benchmark	Р
Corporate	<u></u>	GHG Emissions	Scope 1 (tCO2eq)	47874.59	402569129.87	5
			Scope 2 (tCO2eq)	7776.24	69422446.90	
			Scope 3 (tCO2eq)	88280.28	1758636897.63	
			Total Scope 1 + 2 (tCO2eq)	57166.72	476696319.01	
			Total Scope 1 + 2 + 3 (tCO2eq)	146768.86	2235624507.38	
	co,	Carbon Footprint	Total Scope 1 + 2 (tCO2eq/EURm)	216.83	94.36	6
			Total Scope 1 + 2 + 3 (tCO2eq/EURm)	548.22	441.37	
	<b>E</b>	GHG Intensity of Investee Companies	Total Scope 1 + 2 (tCO2eq/EURm)	394.89	158.93	7
			Total Scope 1 + 2 + 3 (tCO2eq/EURm)	853.62	770.74	
	<u>^</u>	Fossil Fuel	(% involved)	12.94	9.35	8
		Non-renewable Energy	Non Renewable Energy Consumption (%)	62.57	62.28	Ç
			Non Renewable Energy Production (%)	33.19	35.53	
	•	Energy Consumption Intensity	Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing (GWh/EURm)	14.58	6.61	10
			Construction (GWh/EURm)	0.34	0.22	
			Electricity, Gas, Steam and Air Conditioning Supply (GWh/EURm)	2.69	12.26	
			Manufacturing (GWh/EURm)	0.91	0.32	
			Mining & Quarrying (GWh/EURm)	2.27	3.22	
			Real Estate Activities (GWh/EURm)	0.48	0.60	
			Transportation & Storage (GWh/EURm)	2.14	0.22	
			Water Supply, Sewerage, Waste Management & Remediation (GWh/EURm)	0.00	-	
			Wholesale & Retail Trade & Repair of Motor Vehicles & Motorcycles (GWh/EURm)	0.04	0.06	

### Mandatory Indicators cont. (Regulation Table 1)

Holding Types		PAI Name Metric (Un		Portfolio	Benchmark	P.	
Corporate	3	Negative affect on biodiversity	(% involved)	0.00	12.55	11	
		Emissions to Water	(t/EURm)	1.10	0.65	12	
	**	Hazardous Waste Ratio	(t/EURm)	4.25	1.01	13	
		UNGC Principles/OECD Guidlines Violations  (5)		0.00	0.00	14	
	UNGC Lack of Compliance Mechanism  Unadjusted Gender Pay Gap  Board Gender Diversity		(% involved)	3.82	0.74	15	
			(%)	15.86	4.06	16	
			(% female)	35.16	37.71	17	
	***	Controversial Weapons	(% involved)	0.00	1.64	18	
Sovereign	©02	Carbon Intensity	(KtonCO2eq/EURm)	-	-	19	
	Social Violations		(#)	0	0	20	
			(%)	-	-		

<b>Voluntary Indicators</b>	(Regulation Table 2 & 3)
Voluntal V illulcators	inedulation rable 2 & 3)

Holding Types		PAI Name	Metric (Unit)	Portfolio	Benchmark	P.
Corporate		Emissions of Air Pollutants	([SOx + NOx]t/EURm)	1.46	0.36	21
	CO,	Investing in companies without carbon emission reduction initiatives	(% involved)	1.14	0.98	22
		Investments in companies without water management policies	(% involved)	3.95	2.04	23
	0	Investments in companies producing chemicals	(% involved)	0.55	3.24	24
	X	Non-recycled waste ratio	(t/EURm)	105.04	5.30	25
	<b></b>	Deforestation	(% involved)	4.61	0.96	26
		Investment in investee companies without workplace accident prevention policies	(% involved)	15.68	18.26	27
	AT	Rate of accidents	(Accidents/hours worked)	0.77	0.48	28
	3	Number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness	(#)	2171	27157	29
		Lack of a supplier code of conduct	(% involved)	1.57	1.26	30

### Voluntary Indicators cont. (Regulation Table 3)

Holding Types		PAI Name Metric (Unit)		Portfolio	Benchmark	P.
	Ť	Insufficient whistleblower protection	(% involved)	1.43	0.23	31
Corporate	†⊕ T≣	Excessive CEO pay ratio		55.38	88.74	32
	×	Lack of a human rights policy	(% involved)	4.00	0.72	33
		Lack of due diligence	(% involved)	1.27	0.00	34
		Lack of processes and measures for preventing trafficking in human beings	(% involved)	4.00	0.72	35
	A	Number of identified cases of severe human rights issues and incidents	(#)	0	1	36
	\$ 6	Lack of anti-corruption and anti-bribery policies	(% involved)	0.26	0.00	37
	A	Cases of insufficient action taken to address breaches of standards of anti-corruption and antibribery	(% involved)	4.54	0.72	38
-	<b>-■</b> \$=	Number of convictions and amount of fines for violation of anti-corruption and anti-bribery laws	(# convictions)	0	9	39
			(EURm)	0.00	35.41	
Sovereign	<u>+\$1</u>	Average income inequality score		-	-	40
	<b>6</b> **	Average freedom of expression score		-	-	41
		Average human rights performance		-	-	42
	\$	Average corruption score		-	-	43
	1%	Non-cooperative tax jurisdictions	(% involved)	0.00	0.00	44
	Y	Average political stability score		-	-	45
	4	Average rule of law score		-	-	46



### **GHG** emissions

Measuring the greenhouse gas emissions (GHG) which are attributable to the portfolio through the proportion of ownership of each corporate investment.

PAI Identifier Table 1 #1 Eligible Holding Type Corporate


Portfolio Benchmark

#### Eligibility

97.11% 99.81%

The portion of the portfolio which is eligible for this PAI. In this case the % invested in corporate securities.

### Coverage



Portion of portfolio which has PAI eligibility, Sustainalytics data, holding value supplied by the user.

### Emissions by Scope (tCO2eq)

### Total Emissions (tCO2eq)



### **Largest Contributing Constituents**

The table below shows the ten largest contributors to the overall GHG emissions.

Security Name	Industry	Holding Value (€m)	% Owned	Emissions (TCO2eq)	Share of Emissions (TCO2eq)
SARAS S.p.A Raffinerie Sarde	Refiners & Pipelines	7.55	0.0039%	5,998,749	23,259
Eramet SA	Diversified Metals	6.79	0.0014%	3,990,000	5,513
K+S AG	Chemicals	7.09	0.0018%	2,600,000	4,634
Salzgitter AG	Steel	1.16	0.0004%	8,094,000	3,451
Hapag-Lloyd AG	Transportation	8.54	0.0002%	12,897,012	2,069
Outokumpu Oyj	Steel	2.2	0.0009%	1,880,207	1,644
Aurubis AG	Diversified Metals	3.14	0.0010%	1,561,373	1,620
Solvay SA	Chemicals	1.37	0.0001%	10,100,000	1,192
Naturgy Energy Group, S.A.	Utilities	2.86	0.0001%	15,455,482	944
Acerinox SA	Steel	1.43	0.0003%	2,768,494	912



# Carbon footprint


As opposed to indicating the portfolio's overall accountability for total GHG emissions through their investments (which is what GHG emissions does), this PAI instead looks to create a comparable measure. It takes the total GHG emissions and divides them by the value of the investments in EURm, so it tells you how much GHG is created per EURm invested.

PAI Identifier Table 1 #2 Eligible Holding Type Corporate

Portfolio Benchmark



The portion of the portfolio which is eligible for this PAI. In this case the % invested in corporate securities.





### Coverage



Portion of portfolio which has PAI eligibility, Sustainalytics data, holding value supplied by the user.

### **Largest Contributing Constituents**

The table below shows the ten largest contributors to the overall carbon footprint.

Security Name	Industry	Holding Value (m)	% Owned	Emissions (TCO2eq)	Share of Emissions	Carbon footprint (tCO2eq/EURm)
SARAS S.p.A Raffinerie Sarde	Refiners & Pipelines	7.55	0.0039%	5,998,749	23,259	3,083
Salzgitter AG	Steel	1.16	0.0004%	8,094,000	3,451	2,963
SSAB AB Class A	Steel	0.47	0.0001%	9,989,000	863	1,820
MPC Container Ships ASA	Transportation	0.50	0.0005%	1,688,699	769	1,542
Elkem ASA	Chemicals	0.15	0.0001%	3,300,000	173	1,132
Solvay SA	Chemicals	1.37	0.0001%	10,100,000	1,192	867
Eramet SA	Diversified Metals	6.79	0.0014%	3,990,000	5,513	812
Euronav NV	Refiners & Pipelines	0.82	0.0002%	3,082,997	628	769
Outokumpu Oyj	Steel	2.20	0.0009%	1,880,207	1,644	748
K+S AG	Chemicals	7.09	0.0018%	2,600,000	4,634	654



### GHG intensity of investee companies

The GHG intensity is a relative measure of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. It is the amount of GHG produced per unit of revenue generated by the company, measured in tonnes of CO2 per EURm generated in revenue. The carbon intensity is then weighted using the portfolio weight to get a weighted average for the portfolio.

PAI Identifier Table 1 #3 Eligible Holding Type Corporate



# **Eligibility**97.11% 99.81%

The portion of the portfolio which is eligible for this PAI. In this case the % invested in corporate securities.

#### GHG intensity scope 1 + 2 (tCO2eq/EURm) GHG intensity scope 1 + 2 + 3 (tCO2eq/EURm)



#### Coverage



The portion of the portfolio which is both eligible for this PAI and covered by Sustainalytics' data.

### **Largest Contributing Constituents**

The table below shows the ten largest contributors to the overall GHG intensity.

Security Name	Industry	Portfolio Weight	Emissions (TCO2eq)	EURm generated in revenue	GHG intensity (tCO2eq/EURm)	Weighted GHG intensity
MPC Container Ships ASA	Transportation	1.27%	1688699.00	139.76	12082.94	153.86
SARAS S.p.A Raffinerie Sarde	Refiners & Pipelines	1.88%	5998749.20	5183.99	1157.17	21.73
Hapag-Lloyd AG	Transportation	2.13%	12897012.00	12772.40	1009.76	21.46
SSAB AB Class A	Steel	1.25%	9989000.00	6481.48	1541.16	19.27
Eramet SA	Diversified Metals	1.69%	3990000.00	3553.00	1122.99	18.97
K+S AG	Chemicals	1.76%	2600000.00	2432.10	1069.03	18.86
Wallenius Wilhelmsen ASA	Transportation	0.72%	3778748.00	2404.94	1571.24	11.37
Tenaris S.A.	Energy Services	1.84%	1700000.00	4184.45	406.27	7.47
Naturgy Energy Group, S.A.	Utilities	0.71%	15455482.00	15345.00	1007.20	7.16
Euronav NV	Refiners & Pipelines	0.20%	3082997.00	1000.64	3081.04	6.27



### Exposure to companies active in the fossil fuel sector

This metric tells you the % of the portfolio that is exposed to companies that are involved with fossil fuels.

PAI Identifier Table 1 #4 Eligible Holding Type Corporate


Portfolio Benchmark

### Eligibility



The portion of the portfolio which is eligible for this PAI. In this case the % invested in corporate securities.

#### Coverage



The portion of the portfolio which is both eligible for this PAI and covered by Sustainalytics' data.

### Portfolio Involvement (of Covered) Benchmark Involvement (of Covered)



### Largest portfolio constituents with fossil fuel involvement

The table below shows the five largest contributors to the overall fossil fuel involvement score of the portfolio.

Security	Industry	Portofolio Weight
Aker Solutions ASA	Energy Services	2.01%
SARAS S.p.A Raffinerie Sarde	Refiners & Pipelines	1.88%
Tenaris S.A.	Energy Services	1.84%
Gaztransport & Technigaz SA	Energy Services	1.50%
TGS ASA	Energy Services	0.79%

### Largest portfolio constituents without fossil fuel involvement

The table below shows the five largest contributors to the overall fossil fuel not-involved score of the portfolio.

Security Name	Industry	Portofolio Weight
Hapag-Lloyd AG	Transportation	2.13%
ASM International N.V.	Semiconductors	1.83%
K+S AG	Chemicals	1.76%
Eramet SA	Diversified Metals	1.69%
AIXTRON SE	Semiconductors	1.66%

Dawlafalia



### Share of non-renewable energy consumption and production

 $Measuring \ the \ \% \ of \ the \ portfolio's \ corporate \ investments \ consuming \ or \ producing \ energy \ from \ non-renewable \ sources.$ 

PAI Identifier Table 1 #5 Eligible Holding Type Corporate

Portfolio Benchmark

### Eligibility



The portion of the portfolio which is eligible for this PAI. In this case the % invested in corporate securities.

### Coverage



The portion of the portfolio which is both eligible for this PAI and covered by Sustainalytics' data.

# Non-renewable percentage of energy consumption (%)



# Non-renewable percentage of energy production (%)



### **Largest Contributing Non Renewable Energy Consumers**

The table below shows the five largest contributors to non renewable energy consumers.

Security Name	Industry	Portfolio Weight	Non-Renewable Energy Consumption	Weighted Non- Renewable Consumption
SARAS S.p.A Raffinerie Sarde	Refiners & Pipelines	1.88%	100.00%	1.88%
Merck KGaA	Pharmaceuticals	1.45%	98.50%	1.43%
Eramet SA	Diversified Metals	1.69%	77.00%	1.30%
MPC Container Ships ASA	Transportation	1.27%	100.00%	1.27%
Boliden AB	Diversified Metals	1.16%	98.20%	1.13%

### **Largest Contributing Non Renewable Energy Producers**

The table below shows the five largest contributors to non renewable energy producers.

Security Name	Industry	Portfolio Weight	Non-Renewable Energy Production	Weighted Non- Renewable Production
SARAS S.p.A Raffinerie Sarde	Refiners & Pipelines	1.88%	94.61%	1.78%



# Energy consumption intensity per high impact climate sector

This table shows the largest contributing sector in each of the 9 NACE sectors in scope for this PAI.

PAI Identifier Table 1 #6 Eligible Holding Type Corporate

Portfolio Benchmark

Electricity, Gas, Steam and Air

Wholesale and Retail Trade and

### Eligibility




The portion of the portfolio which is eligible for this PAI. In this case the % invested in corporate securities.

### Coverage



The portion of the portfolio which is both eligible for this PAI and covered by Sustainalytics' data.

### Energy consumption intensity by Sector (GWh/EURm)





Water Supply, Sewerage, Waste



### Largest Contributer per NACE Sector

This table shows the largest contributing sector in each of the 9 NACE sectors in scope for this PAI

Sector Name	Security Name	Portfolio Weight	Energy Consumption Intensity	Weighted Energy Consumption Intensity
Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing	ALTRI SGPS SA	1.27%	28.45	0.36
Construction	Webuild S.p.A.	0.25%	0.34	0.00
Electricity, Gas, Steam and Air Conditioning Supply	ERG S.p.A.	0.52%	5.79	0.03
Manufacturing	K+S AG	1.76%	5.70	0.10
Mining & Quarrying	Eramet SA	1.69%	4.45	0.08
Real Estate	Eurocommercial Properties NV	0.34%	0.48	0.00
Transportation & Storage	Euronav NV	0.20%	10.57	0.02
Water Supply, Sewerage, Waste Management & Remediation	Befesa SA	0.25%	0.00	0.00
Wholesale and Retail Trade and Repair of Motor Vehicles and Motorcycles	Axfood AB	1.19%	0.06	0.00



### Activities negatively affecting biodiversity-sensitive areas


Measuring the % of the portfolio's investments with a negative effect on biodiversity.

PAI Identifier Table 1 #7 Eligible Holding Type Corporate

Portfolio Involvement (of Covered)

Portfolio Benchmark


### Eligibility



The portion of the portfolio which is eligible for this PAI. In this case the % invested in corporate securities.

Not Involved, 100%

Involved, 0%



**Benchmark Involvement (of Covered)** 

Coverage



The portion of the portfolio which is both eligible for this PAI and covered by Sustainalytics' data.

### Largest portfolio constituents without negative affect on biodiversity involvement

The table below shows the five largest contributors to the overall negative affect on biodiversity not-involved score of the portfolio.

Security Name	Industry	Portofolio Weight
Hapag-Lloyd AG	Transportation	2.13%
Aker Solutions ASA	Energy Services	2.01%
SARAS S.p.A Raffinerie Sarde	Refiners & Pipelines	1.88%
Tenaris S.A.	Energy Services	1.84%
ASM International N.V.	Semiconductors	1.83%



### Emissions to water

Tonnes of emissions to water generated by investee companies per EURm invested, expressed as a weighted average of the portfolio.


PAI Identifier Table 1 #8 Eligible Holding Type Corporate



### Eligibility



The portion of the portfolio which is eligible for this PAI. In this case the % invested in corporate securities.





### Coverage



Portion of portfolio which has PAI eligibility, Sustainalytics data, holding value supplied by the user.

### **Largest Contributing Constituents**

The table below shows the ten largest contributors to the emissions to water.

Security Name	Industry	Holding Value (m)	% Owned	Emissions (T)	Emissions to water (T/EURm)
Stora Enso Oyj Class R	Paper & Forestry	3.21	0.0002%	84,332	17.13
Eramet SA	Diversified Metals	6.79	0.0014%	7,327	10.12
Metsa Board Oyj Class B	Paper & Forestry	1.38	0.0004%	12,849	5.13
Holmen AB Class B	Paper & Forestry	0.29	0.0000%	23,767	1.07
Outokumpu Oyj	Steel	2.20	0.0009%	1,111	0.97
Svenska Cellulosa AB SCA Class B	Paper & Forestry	0.35	0.0000%	27,025	0.95
Solvay SA	Chemicals	1.37	0.0001%	5,265	0.62
Wacker Chemie AG	Chemicals	1.57	0.0002%	1,414	0.28
Merck KGaA	Pharmaceuticals	5.83	0.0001%	2,307	0.16
Vallourec SA	Energy Services	1.81	0.0005%	87	0.04



# Hazardous waste ratio

Tonnes of hazardous waste generated by investee companies per EURm invested, expressed as a weighted average of the portfolio.

PAI Identifier Table 1 #9 Eligible Holding Type Corporate



### Eligibility



The portion of the portfolio which is eligible for this PAI. In this case the % invested in corporate securities.





#### Coverage



Portion of portfolio which has PAI eligibility, Sustainalytics data, holding value supplied by the user.

### **Largest Contributing Constituents**

The table below shows the ten largest contributors to the overall air pollutant emissions.

Industry	Holding Value (m)	% Owned	Hazardous waste produced (T)	Hazardous waste (T/EURm)
Refiners & Pipelines	7.55	0.0039%	38,571	149.55
Steel	2.20	0.0009%	152,588	133.41
Diversified Metals	6.79	0.0014%	56,000	77.37
Diversified Metals	3.14	0.0010%	51,350	53.29
Diversified Metals	0.44	0.0000%	961,000	46.99
Steel	1.43	0.0003%	69,591	22.94
Chemicals	1.57	0.0002%	96,350	19.09
Steel	1.16	0.0004%	36,280	15.47
Pharmaceuticals	5.83	0.0001%	127,000	8.57
Chemicals	1.37	0.0001%	71,600	8.45
	Refiners & Pipelines  Steel  Diversified Metals  Diversified Metals  Diversified Metals  Steel  Chemicals  Steel  Pharmaceuticals	Refiners & Pipelines 7.55  Steel 2.20  Diversified Metals 6.79  Diversified Metals 3.14  Diversified Metals 0.44  Steel 1.43  Chemicals 1.57  Steel 1.16  Pharmaceuticals 5.83	Refiners & Pipelines       7.55       0.0039%         Steel       2.20       0.0009%         Diversified Metals       6.79       0.0014%         Diversified Metals       3.14       0.0010%         Diversified Metals       0.44       0.0000%         Steel       1.43       0.0003%         Chemicals       1.57       0.0002%         Steel       1.16       0.0004%         Pharmaceuticals       5.83       0.0001%	Refiners & Pipelines         7.55         0.0039%         38,571           Steel         2.20         0.0009%         152,588           Diversified Metals         6.79         0.0014%         56,000           Diversified Metals         3.14         0.0010%         51,350           Diversified Metals         0.44         0.0000%         961,000           Steel         1.43         0.0003%         69,591           Chemicals         1.57         0.0002%         96,350           Steel         1.16         0.0004%         36,280           Pharmaceuticals         5.83         0.0001%         127,000



# Violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises

Measuring the % of the portfolio's investments that are exposed to companies breaching UNGC principles or OECD guidelines.

PAI Identifier Table 1 #10 Eligible Holding Type Corporate

Portfolio Involvement (of Covered)

Portfolio Benchmark

### Eligibility

97.11% 99.81%

The portion of the portfolio which is eligible for this PAI. In this case the % invested in corporate securities.


Not Involved, 100%

Involved, 0%

Involved, 0%
Not Involved, 100%

**Benchmark Involvement (of Covered)** 

### Coverage



The portion of the portfolio which is both eligible for this PAI and covered by Sustainalytics' data.

# Largest portfolio constituents without UNGC principles/OECD guidelines violations involvement

The table below shows the five largest contributors to the overall UNGC principles/OECD guidelines violations not-involved score of the portfolio.

Security Name	Industry	Portofolio Weight
Hapag-Lloyd AG	Transportation	2.13%
Aker Solutions ASA	Energy Services	2.01%
SARAS S.p.A Raffinerie Sarde	Refiners & Pipelines	1.88%
Tenaris S.A.	Energy Services	1.84%
ASM International N.V.	Semiconductors	1.83%



Lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UNGC principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises

Measuring the % of the portfolio's investments that are exposed to companies that cannot monitor compliance with UNGC principles or OECD guidelines.

PAI Identifier Table 1 #11 Eligible Holding Type Corporate



### Eligibility

97.11%

The portion of the portfolio which is eligible for this PAI. In this case the % invested in corporate securities.

### Coverage

10.83% 0.74%

The portion of the portfolio which is both eligible for this PAI and covered by Sustainalytics' data.

### Portfolio Involvement (of Covered) Benchmark Involvement (of Covered)



# Largest portfolio constituents with UNGC Lack of compliance mechanisms involvement

The table below shows the five largest contributors to the overall UNGC Lack of compliance mechanisms involvement score of the portfolio.

Security	Industry	Portofolio Weight
Svenska Cellulosa AB SCA Class B	Paper & Forestry	0.92%
Holmen AB Class B	Paper & Forestry	0.75%
Outokumpu Oyj	Steel	0.55%
Elis SA	Commercial Services	0.37%
Acerinox SA	Steel	0.36%

# Largest portfolio constituents without UNGC Lack of compliance mechanisms involvement

The table below shows the five largest contributors to the overall UNGC Lack of compliance mechanisms not-involved score of the portfolio.

Security Name	Industry	Weight
Eramet SA	Diversified Metals	1.69%
SSAB AB Class A	Steel	1.25%
Boliden AB	Diversified Metals	1.16%
Stora Enso Oyj Class R	Paper & Forestry	0.80%
Aurubis AG	Diversified Metals	0.78%



# Unadjusted gender pay gap

This metric assesses the difference between the average gross hourly earnings of female employees and the average gross hourly earnings of male employees, expressed as a percentage of the average gross hourly earnings of male employees.

PAI Identifier Table 1 #12 Eligible Holding Type Corporate

Portfolio Benchmark

### Unadjusted gender pay gap (%)



### Eligibility



The portion of the portfolio which is eligible for this PAI. In this case the % invested in corporate securities.

### Coverage

2.35%

4.99%

The portion of the portfolio which is both eligible for this PAI and covered by Sustainalytics' data.

### **Largest Contributing Constituents**

The table below shows the ten largest contributors to the overall gender pay gap.

Security Name	Industry	Portfolio Weight	Gender Pay gap	Weighted Gender Pay gap
Sydbank A/S	Banks	0.82%	20.00%	0.16%
Telefonica SA	Telecommunication Services	0.72%	19.85%	0.14%
Soitec SA	Semiconductors	0.30%	15.82%	0.05%
ENCE Energia y Celulosa SA	Paper & Forestry	0.52%	3.80%	0.02%



# Board gender diversity

Weighted average % female board members in investee companies. However, it is not calculated as the regulation dictates because the calculation would fail when there are zero male board members. We use: [weighted average number of female board members / weighted average number of board member] which works in all circumstances.

PAI Identifier Table 1 #13 Eligible Holding Type Corporate


Portfolio Benchmark

### Eligibility

97.11% 99.81%

The portion of the portfolio which is eligible for this PAI. In this case the % invested in corporate securities.

#### Coverage



The portion of the portfolio which is both eligible for this PAI and covered by Sustainalytics' data.

### Percentage of female board members



### **Largest Contributing Constituents**

The table below shows the ten largest contributors to the overall board gender diversity that have a board made up with at least two thirds men.

Security Name	Industry	Portfolio Weight	% Females on Board	gender diversty contribution
Aker Solutions ASA	Energy Services	2.01%	45.45%	0.91%
Grieg Seafood ASA	Food Products	1.43%	60.00%	0.86%
Hapag-Lloyd AG	Transportation	2.13%	37.50%	0.80%
SARAS S.p.A Raffinerie Sarde	Refiners & Pipelines	1.88%	41.67%	0.78%
AIXTRON SE	Semiconductors	1.66%	40.00%	0.67%
Eramet SA	Diversified Metals	1.69%	36.84%	0.62%
Gaztransport & Technigaz SA	Energy Services	1.50%	40.00%	0.60%
IMCD N.V.	Traders & Distributors	1.47%	40.00%	0.59%
Alten SA	Software & Services	1.17%	44.44%	0.52%
Edenred SA	Software & Services	0.95%	54.55%	0.52%



### Exposure to controversial weapons

Share of investments in investee companies involved in the manufacture or selling of controversial weapons.

PAI Identifier Table 1 #14 Eligible Holding Type Corporate

Portfolio Involvement (of Covered)

Portfolio Benchmark

### Eligibility

97.11% 98.17%

The portion of the portfolio which is eligible for this PAI. In this case the % invested in corporate securities.

Not Involved, 100%

Involved, 0%

Involved, 2%
Not Involved, 98%

**Benchmark Involvement (of Covered)** 

#### Coverage



The portion of the portfolio which is both eligible for this PAI and covered by Sustainalytics' data.

### Largest portfolio constituents without controversial weapons involvement

The table below shows the five largest contributors to the overall controversial weapons not-involved score of the portfolio.

Security Name	Industry	Portofolio Weight
Hapag-Lloyd AG	Transportation	2.13%
Aker Solutions ASA	Energy Services	2.01%
SARAS S.p.A Raffinerie Sarde	Refiners & Pipelines	1.88%
Tenaris S.A.	Energy Services	1.84%
ASM International N.V.	Semiconductors	1.83%



# **GHG** intensity

This metric is a relative measure of carbon emissions for countries. It is the amount of carbon produced per unit of Gross Domestic Product (GDP), measured in Kton per EURm of GDP.

PAI Identifier Table 1 #15 Eligible Holding Type Sovereign



### **Missing Data**



### Investee countries subject to social violations

This metric counts the number of countries the portfolio is exposed to that are subject to social violations, as referred to in international treaties and conventions, United Nations principles and, where applicable, national law.

PAI Identifier Table 1 #16 Eligible Holding Type Sovereign

Portfolio Benchmark

### Eligibility

0.00%

0.00%

The portion of the portfolio which is eligible for this PAI. In this case the % invested in sovereign securities.

### Coverage

0.00%

0.00%

The portion of the portfolio which is both eligible for this PAI and covered by Sustainalytics' data.

### Number of countries with violations (#)

0.00

0.00





# Emissions of air pollutants

The air pollutant emissions measures the volume of these emissions which are attributable to the portfolio through the proportion of ownership of each corporate investment, per million Euros invested.

PAI Identifier Table 2 #2 Eligible Holding Type Corporate



### Eligibility

97.11% 99.81%

The portion of the portfolio which is eligible for this PAI. In this case the % invested in corporate securities.



Emissions of air pollutants ([SOx + NOx]t/EURm)

### Coverage



Portion of portfolio which has PAI eligibility, Sustainalytics data, holding value supplied by the user.

### **Largest Contributing Constituents**

The table below shows the ten largest contributors to the overall air pollutant emissions.

Security Name	Industry	Holding Value (m)	% Owned	Air pollutant emissions (NOx t + SOx t)	Share of air pollutant emissions
Eramet SA	Diversified Metals	6.79	0.0014%	19,930	27.54
SARAS S.p.A Raffinerie Sarde	Refiners & Pipelines	7.55	0.0039%	5,018	19.46
Euronav NV	Refiners & Pipelines	0.82	0.0002%	92,457	18.83
MPC Container Ships ASA	Transportation	0.50	0.0005%	39,567	18.03
ENCE Energia y Celulosa SA	Paper & Forestry	2.08	0.0016%	3,986	6.49
AIXTRON SE	Semiconductors	6.68	0.0024%	2,616	6.39
Aurubis AG	Diversified Metals	3.14	0.0010%	6,141	6.37
Salzgitter AG	Steel	1.16	0.0004%	7,873	3.36
ALTRI SGPS SA	Paper & Forestry	5.09	0.0025%	1,242	3.08
Stora Enso Oyj Class R	Paper & Forestry	3.21	0.0002%	11,400	2.31



### Investments in companies without carbon emission reduction initiatives

This metric tells you the % of the portfolio that is exposed to companies that are involved with companies without carbon emission reduction initiatives aimed at aligning with the Paris Agreement.

PAI Identifier Table 2 #4 Eligible Holding Type Corporate

Portfolio Involvement (of Covered)



### Eligibility

97.11% 0.98%

The portion of the portfolio which is eligible for this PAI. In this case the % invested in corporate securities.


# Involved, 1% Not Involved, 99%



Involved, 1%

**Benchmark Involvement (of Covered)** 

### Coverage



The portion of the portfolio which is both eligible for this PAI and covered by Sustainalytics' data.

### Largest portfolio constituents with carbon reduction involvement

The table below shows the five largest contributors to the overall carbon reduction involvement score of the portfolio.

Not Involved, 99%

Security	Industry	Portofolio Weight
Nagarro SE	Software & Services	0.61%
mobilezone holding AG	Retailing	0.41%
u-blox Holding AG	Semiconductors	0.12%

### Largest portfolio constituents without carbon reduction involvement

The table below shows the five largest contributors to the overall carbon reduction not-involved score of the portfolio.

Security Name	Industry	Weight	
Aker Solutions ASA	Energy Services	2.01%	
SARAS S.p.A Raffinerie Sarde	Refiners & Pipelines	1.88%	
Tenaris S.A.	Energy Services	1.84%	
ASM International N.V.	Semiconductors	1.83%	
K+S AG	Chemicals	1.76%	



### Investments in companies without water management policies

This metric tells you the % of the portfolio that is exposed to companies that are involved with companies without water management initiatives.

PAI Identifier Table 2 #7 Eligible Holding Type Corporate



### Eligibility

97.11%

The portion of the portfolio which is eligible for this PAI. In this case the % invested in corporate securities.

### Coverage



The portion of the portfolio which is both eligible for this PAI and covered by Sustainalytics' data.

### Portfolio Involvement (of Covered) Benchmark Involvement (of Covered)



### Largest portfolio constituents with water management involvement

The table below shows the five largest contributors to the overall water management involvement score of the portfolio.

Security	Industry	Portofolio Weight
AIXTRON SE	Semiconductors	1.66%
EMS-CHEMIE HOLDING AG	Chemicals	0.61%
Fugro NV	Construction & Engineering	0.50%
Redes Energeticas Nacionais SA	Utilities	0.37%
Alfen NV	Electrical Equipment	0.36%

### Largest portfolio constituents without water management involvement

The table below shows the five largest contributors to the overall water management not-involved score of the portfolio.

Security Name	Industry	Portofolio Weight
SARAS S.p.A Raffinerie Sarde	Refiners & Pipelines	1.88%
ASM International N.V.	Semiconductors	1.83%
K+S AG	Chemicals	1.76%
Eramet SA	Diversified Metals	1.69%
Grieg Seafood ASA	Food Products	1.43%



### Investments in companies producing chemicals

This metric tells you the % of the portfolio that is exposed to companies that are involved with chemical production.

PAI Identifier Table 2 #9 Eligible Holding Type Corporate

Portfolio Benchmark

### Eligibility

97.11% 96.57%

The portion of the portfolio which is eligible for this PAI. In this case the % invested in corporate securities.

### Coverage



The portion of the portfolio which is both eligible for this PAI and covered by Sustainalytics' data.

### Portfolio Involvement (of Covered) Benchmark Involvement (of Covered)



### Largest portfolio constituents with chemical production involvement

The table below shows the five largest contributors to the overall chemical production involvement score of the portfolio.

Security	Industry	Portofolio Weight
Lonza Group AG	Pharmaceuticals	0.55%

### Largest portfolio constituents without chemical production involvement

The table below shows the five largest contributors to the overall chemical production not-involved score of the portfolio.

Security Name	Industry	Portofolio Weight
Hapag-Lloyd AG	Transportation	2.13%
Aker Solutions ASA	Energy Services	2.01%
SARAS S.p.A Raffinerie Sarde	Refiners & Pipelines	1.88%
Tenaris S.A.	Energy Services	1.84%
ASM International N.V.	Semiconductors	1.83%



# Non-recycled waste ratio

For each company the non-recycled waste ration is the total amount in metric tons of non-recycled waste produced dividend by the amount invested in EURm. This is expressed as a weighted average.

PAI Identifier Table 2 #13 Eligible Holding Type Corporate

Non-recycled waste generation (t/EURm)



### Eligibility



The portion of the portfolio which is eligible for this PAI. In this case the % invested in corporate securities.



#### Coverage



Portion of portfolio which has PAI eligibility, Sustainalytics data, holding value supplied by the user.

### **Largest Contributing Constituents**

The table below shows the ten largest contributors to the overall air pollutant emissions.

Industry	Holding Value (m)	% Owned	Non-recycled waste produced (T)	Non-recycled waste (T/EURm)
Diversified Metals	6.79	0.0014%	4,495,000	6210.49
Diversified Metals	0.44	0.0000%	102,123,000	4993.09
Steel	2.20	0.0009%	1,477,472	1291.81
Construction & Engineering	0.99	0.0002%	1,005,698	227.37
Steel	1.16	0.0004%	238,541	101.70
Diversified Metals	3.14	0.0010%	57,075	59.23
Paper & Forestry	3.21	0.0002%	210,000	42.64
Diversified Financials	4.55	0.0006%	70,412	40.52
Steel	0.47	0.0001%	331,000	28.59
Food Products	1.12	0.0002%	89,000	17.96
	Diversified Metals  Diversified Metals  Steel  Construction & Engineering  Steel  Diversified Metals  Paper & Forestry  Diversified Financials  Steel	Diversified Metals 6.79  Diversified Metals 0.44  Steel 2.20  Construction & Engineering 0.99  Steel 1.16  Diversified Metals 3.14  Paper & Forestry 3.21  Diversified Financials 4.55  Steel 0.47	Diversified Metals       6.79       0.0014%         Diversified Metals       0.44       0.0000%         Steel       2.20       0.0009%         Construction & Engineering       0.99       0.0002%         Steel       1.16       0.0004%         Diversified Metals       3.14       0.0010%         Paper & Forestry       3.21       0.0002%         Diversified Financials       4.55       0.0006%         Steel       0.47       0.0001%	Diversified Metals 6.79 0.0014% 4,495,000  Diversified Metals 0.44 0.0000% 102,123,000  Steel 2.20 0.0009% 1,477,472  Construction & Engineering 0.99 0.0002% 1,005,698  Steel 1.16 0.0004% 238,541  Diversified Metals 3.14 0.0010% 57,075  Paper & Forestry 3.21 0.0002% 210,000  Diversified Financials 4.55 0.0006% 70,412  Steel 0.47 0.0001% 331,000



### Deforestation

This metric tells you the % of the portfolio that is exposed to companies that are involved with companies that lack a deforestation policy.

PAI Identifier Table 2 #15 Eligible Holding Type Corporate

Portfolio Benchmark

### Eligibility

97.11% 0.96%

The portion of the portfolio which is eligible for this PAI. In this case the % invested in corporate securities.

### Coverage

9.39% 9.38%

The portion of the portfolio which is both eligible for this PAI and covered by Sustainalytics' data.

### Portfolio Involvement (of Covered) Benchmark Involvement (of Covered)



### Largest portfolio constituents with deforestation involvement

The table below shows the five largest contributors to the overall deforestation involvement score of the portfolio.

Security	Industry	Portofolio Weight
Axfood AB	Food Retailers	1.19%
Svenska Cellulosa AB SCA Class B	Paper & Forestry	0.92%
Stora Enso Oyj Class R	Paper & Forestry	0.80%
Holmen AB Class B	Paper & Forestry	0.75%
HORNBACH Holding AG & Co. KGaA	Retailing	0.57%

### Largest portfolio constituents without deforestation involvement

The table below shows the five largest contributors to the overall deforestation not-involved score of the portfolio.

Security Name	Industry	Portofolio Weight
Grieg Seafood ASA	Food Products	1.43%
ALTRI SGPS SA	Paper & Forestry	1.27%
Chocoladefabriken Lindt & Spruengli AG Partizipsch.	Food Products	0.73%
Kesko Oyj Class B	Food Retailers	0.70%
ENCE Energia y Celulosa SA	Paper & Forestry	0.52%



# Investments in companies without workplace accident prevention policies

This metric tells you the % of the portfolio that is exposed to companies that are involved with companies that lack a workplace accident prevention policy.

PAI Identifier Table 3 #1 Eligible Holding Type Corporate

Portfolio Benchmark

### Eligibility



The portion of the portfolio which is eligible for this PAI. In this case the % invested in corporate securities.

#### Coverage



The portion of the portfolio which is both eligible for this PAI and covered by Sustainalytics' data.

### Portfolio Involvement (of Covered) Benchmark Involvement (of Covered)



### Largest portfolio constituents with workplace accidents prevention involvement

The table below shows the five largest contributors to the overall workplace accidents prevention involvement score of the portfolio.

Security	Industry	Portofolio Weight
ASM International N.V.	Semiconductors	1.83%
AIXTRON SE	Semiconductors	1.66%
Novo Nordisk A/S Class B	Pharmaceuticals	1.48%
MPC Container Ships ASA	Transportation	1.27%
SSAB AB Class A	Steel	1.25%

### Largest portfolio constituents without workplace accidents prevention involvement

The table below shows the five largest contributors to the overall workplace accidents prevention not-involved score of the portfolio.

Security Name Industry		Weight	
Hapag-Lloyd AG	Transportation	2.13%	
Aker Solutions ASA	Energy Services	2.01%	
SARAS S.p.A Raffinerie Sarde	Refiners & Pipelines	1.88%	
Tenaris S.A.	Energy Services	1.84%	
K+S AG	Chemicals	1.76%	



## Rate of accidents

Measuring the weighted average of each corporate investment's rate of workplace accidents per hours worked.

PAI Identifier Table 3 #2 Eligible Holding Type Corporate

Portfolio Benchmark

### Eligibility

97.11% 99.81%

The portion of the portfolio which is eligible for this PAI. In this case the % invested in corporate securities.

### Coverage

14.03% 19.74%

The portion of the portfolio which is both eligible for this PAI and covered by Sustainalytics' data.

### Recordable work-related injuries rate (accidents/hours worked)



### **Largest Contributing Constituents**

The table below shows the ten largest contributors to the overall rate of accidents

Security Name	Industry	Portfolio Weight	Rate of accidents	Weighted rate of accidents
SSAB AB Class A	Steel	1.25%	1.94	0.02
Kingspan Group Plc	Building Products	0.58%	2.43	0.01
Eramet SA	Diversified Metals	1.69%	0.82	0.01
Tenaris S.A.	Energy Services	1.84%	0.56	0.01
IMCD N.V.	Traders & Distributors	1.47%	0.50	0.01
Metsa Board Oyj Class B	Paper & Forestry	0.34%	1.68	0.01
Aker Solutions ASA	Energy Services	2.01%	0.25	0.01
Virbac SA	Pharmaceuticals	0.58%	0.86	0.01
NKT A/S	Electrical Equipment	0.38%	1.20	0.00
Lonza Group AG	Pharmaceuticals	0.55%	0.48	0.00



### Number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness

Measuring the weighted average of the days lost to accidents for each corporate investment in the portfolio.

PAI Identifier Table 3 #3 Eligible Holding Type Corporate

Portfolio Benchmark

### Eligibility

97.11% 99.81%

The portion of the portfolio which is eligible for this PAI. In this case the % invested in corporate securities

### Coverage

1.09% 4.01%

The portion of the portfolio which is both eligible for this PAI and covered by Sustainalytics' data.

### Number of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness (#)



### **Largest Contributing Constituents**

The table below shows the ten largest contributors to the overall days lost to accidents

Security Name	Industry	Portfolio Weight	Days lost to accidents	Weighted days lost to accidents
Naturgy Energy Group, S.A.	Utilities	0.71%	3062.00	21.77
Redes Energeticas Nacionais SA	Utilities	0.37%	481.00	1.80



### Lack of a supplier code of conduct

This metric tells you the % of the portfolio that is exposed to companies that are involved with companies without any supplier code of conduct.

PAI Identifier Table 3 #4 Eligible Holding Type Corporate


Portfolio Benchmark

### Eligibility

97.11% 1.26%

The portion of the portfolio which is eligible for this PAI. In this case the % invested in corporate securities.

### Coverage



The portion of the portfolio which is both eligible for this PAI and covered by Sustainalytics' data.

### Portfolio Involvement (of Covered) Benchmark Involvement (of Covered)



### Largest portfolio constituents with code of conduct involvement

The table below shows the five largest contributors to the overall code of conduct involvement score of the portfolio

Security	Industry	Portofolio Weight
Schoeller-Bleckmann Oilfield Equipment AG	Energy Services	0.56%
Swissquote Group Holding Ltd.	Diversified Financials	0.37%
Zehnder Group AG Class A	Building Products	0.26%
Euronav NV	Refiners & Pipelines	0.20%
Cibus Nordic Real Estate AB	Real Estate	0.18%

### Largest portfolio constituents without code of conduct involvement

The table below shows the five largest contributors to the overall code of conduct not-involved score of the portfolio

Security Name	Industry	Portofolio Weight
Hapag-Lloyd AG	Transportation	2.13%
Aker Solutions ASA	Energy Services	2.01%
SARAS S.p.A Raffinerie Sarde	Refiners & Pipelines	1.88%
Tenaris S.A.	Energy Services	1.84%
ASM International N.V.	Semiconductors	1.83%



### Insufficient whistleblower protection

This metric tells you the % of the portfolio that is exposed to companies that are involved with companies without policies on the protection of whistleblowers.

PAI Identifier Table 3 #6 Eligible Holding Type Corporate

Portfolio Involvement (of Covered)



### Eligibility

97.11%

The portion of the portfolio which is eligible for this PAI. In this case the % invested in corporate securities.


# Not Involved, 99%

Involved, 1%



**Benchmark Involvement (of Covered)** 

### Coverage



The portion of the portfolio which is both eligible for this PAI and covered by Sustainalytics' data.

### Largest portfolio constituents with whistleblower protection involvement

The table below shows the five largest contributors to the overall whistleblower protection involvement score of the portfolio

Security	Industry	Weight
D'Ieteren Group SA/NV	Diversified Financials	1.13%
Burckhardt Compression Holding AG	Machinery	0.30%

### Largest portfolio constituents without whistleblower protection involvement

The table below shows the five largest contributors to the overall whistleblower protection not-involved score of the portfolio

Security Name	Industry	Portofolio Weight
Hapag-Lloyd AG	Transportation	2.13%
Aker Solutions ASA	Energy Services	2.01%
SARAS S.p.A Raffinerie Sarde	Refiners & Pipelines	1.88%
Tenaris S.A.	Energy Services	1.84%
ASM International N.V.	Semiconductors	1.83%



# Excessive CEO pay ratio

This metric measures the ratio within investee companies of the annual total compensation for the highest compensated individual to the median annual total compensation for all employees.

PAI Identifier Table 3 #8 Eligible Holding Type Corporate

Portfolio Benchmark

### **Excessive CEO pay ratio**



### Eligibility



The portion of the portfolio which is eligible for this PAI. In this case the % invested in corporate

### Coverage

11.90% 11.34%

The portion of the portfolio which is both eligible for this PAI and covered by Sustainalytics' data.

### **Largest Contributing Constituents**

The table below shows the ten largest contributors to the overall CEO pay ratio

Security Name	Industry	Portfolio Weight	CEO pay ratio	Weighted CEO pay ratio
Alten SA	Software & Services	1.17%	143.00	1.67
Sanofi	Pharmaceuticals	0.72%	188.10	1.35
Ferrari NV	Automobiles	0.64%	129.20	0.82
Edenred SA	Software & Services	0.95%	48.70	0.46
Eramet SA	Diversified Metals	1.69%	26.60	0.45
Gaztransport & Technigaz SA	Energy Services	1.50%	28.74	0.43
Societe BIC SA	Household Products	0.33%	81.00	0.27
Royal KPN NV	Telecommunication Services	0.82%	27.60	0.23
Getlink SE	Transportation Infrastructure	0.71%	31.00	0.22
Elis SA	Commercial Services	0.37%	45.70	0.17



### Lack of a human rights policy

This metric tells you the % of the portfolio that is exposed to companies that are involved with companies that lack a human rights policy.

PAI Identifier Table 3 #9 Eligible Holding Type Corporate

Portfolio Benchmark

### Eligibility

97.11%

The portion of the portfolio which is eligible for this PAI. In this case the % invested in corporate securities.

### Coverage



The portion of the portfolio which is both eligible for this PAI and covered by Sustainalytics' data.

### Portfolio Involvement (of Covered) Benchmark Involvement (of Covered)



### Largest portfolio constituents with human rights involvement

The table below shows the five largest contributors to the overall human rights involvement score of the portfolio

Security	Industry	Portofolio Weight
D'Ieteren Group SA/NV	Diversified Financials	1.13%
Banca IFIS S.p.A.	Diversified Financials	0.75%
Kingspan Group Plc	Building Products	0.58%
mobilezone holding AG	Retailing	0.41%
Swissquote Group Holding Ltd.	Diversified Financials	0.37%

### Largest portfolio constituents without human rights involvement

The table below shows the five largest contributors to the overall human rights not-involved score of the portfolio

Security Name	Industry	Portofolio Weight
Hapag-Lloyd AG	Transportation	2.13%
Aker Solutions ASA	Energy Services	2.01%
SARAS S.p.A Raffinerie Sarde	Refiners & Pipelines	1.88%
Tenaris S.A.	Energy Services	1.84%
ASM International N.V.	Semiconductors	1.83%



# Lack of due diligence

This metric tells you the % of the portfolio that is exposed to companies that are involved with companies that lack a due diligence process to identify, prevent, mitigate and address adverse human rights impacts.

PAI Identifier Table 3 #10 Eligible Holding Type Corporate

Portfolio Benchmark

### Eligibility

97.11%

0.00%

The portion of the portfolio which is eligible for this PAI. In this case the % invested in corporate securities.

### Coverage

12.44% 4.34%

The portion of the portfolio which is both eligible for this PAI and covered by Sustainalytics' data.

### Portfolio Involvement (of Covered) Benchmark Involvement (of Covered)



### Largest portfolio constituents with due diligence involvement

The table below shows the five largest contributors to the overall due diligence involvement score of the portfolio.

Security	Industry	Portofolio Weight
ALTRI SGPS SA	Paper & Forestry	1.27%

### Largest portfolio constituents without due diligence involvement

The table below shows the five largest contributors to the overall due diligence not-involved score of the portfolio.

Security Name	Industry	Portofolio Weight
Eramet SA	Diversified Metals	1.69%
SSAB AB Class A	Steel	1.25%
Boliden AB	Diversified Metals	1.16%
Svenska Cellulosa AB SCA Class B	Paper & Forestry	0.92%
Stora Enso Oyj Class R	Paper & Forestry	0.80%



# Lack of processes and measures for preventing trafficking in human beings

This metric tells you the % of the portfolio that is exposed to companies that are involved with companies that lack policies to prevent trafficking in human beings.

PAI Identifier Table 3 #11 Eligible Holding Type Corporate

Portfolio Benchmark

### Eligibility

97.11% 0.72%

The portion of the portfolio which is eligible for this PAI. In this case the % invested in corporate securities.

### Coverage



The portion of the portfolio which is both eligible for this PAI and covered by Sustainalytics' data.

### Portfolio Involvement (of Covered) Benchmark Involvement (of Covered)



### Largest portfolio constituents with anti human trafficking involvement

The table below shows the five largest contributors to the overall anti human trafficking involvement score of the portfolio.

Security	Industry	Portofolio Weight
D'Ieteren Group SA/NV	Diversified Financials	1.13%
Banca IFIS S.p.A.	Diversified Financials	0.75%
Kingspan Group Plc	Building Products	0.58%
mobilezone holding AG	Retailing	0.41%
Swissquote Group Holding Ltd.	Diversified Financials	0.37%

### Largest portfolio constituents without anti human trafficking involvement

The table below shows the five largest contributors to the overall anti human trafficking not-involved score of the portfolio.

Security Name	Industry	Portofolio Weight
Hapag-Lloyd AG	Transportation	2.13%
Aker Solutions ASA	Energy Services	2.01%
SARAS S.p.A Raffinerie Sarde	Refiners & Pipelines	1.88%
Tenaris S.A.	Energy Services	1.84%
ASM International N.V.	Semiconductors	1.83%



# Number of identified cases of severe human rights issues and incidents

This metric assesses the total number of cases that refer to 'severe' human rights issues/incidents committed by a company and/or its subsidiaries is a given year. We take the count for each corporate investment and then calculated a weighted average for the portfolio.

PAI Identifier Table 3 #14 Eligible Holding Type Corporate

Portfolio Benchmark

### Eligibility



The portion of the portfolio which is eligible for this PAI. In this case the % invested in corporate securities.

### Coverage

0.12%

The portion of the portfolio which is both eligible for this PAI and covered by Sustainalytics' data.

# Number of identified cases of severe human rights issues & incidents (#)



### **Largest Contributing Constituents**

The table below shows the ten largest contributors to the overall human rights incident.

Security Name	Industry	Portfolio Weight	Number of incidents	Weighted number of incidents
Stora Enso Oyj Class R	Paper & Forestry	0.80%	2.00	0.02
Novo Nordisk A/S Class B	Pharmaceuticals	1.48%	1.00	0.01
Banco Bilbao Vizcaya Argentaria, S.A.	Banks	0.74%	2.00	0.01
HUGO BOSS AG	Textiles & Apparel	0.72%	2.00	0.01
Zurich Insurance Group Ltd	Insurance	0.78%	1.00	0.01
Sanofi	Pharmaceuticals	0.72%	1.00	0.01
Galp Energia, SGPS S.A. Class B	Oil & Gas Producers	0.68%	1.00	0.01
CaixaBank SA	Banks	0.68%	1.00	0.01
AXA SA	Insurance	0.63%	1.00	0.01
Compagnie Financiere Richemont SA	Textiles & Apparel	0.62%	1.00	0.01



### Lack of anti-corruption and anti-bribery policies

Involved, 0%

This metric tells you the % of the portfolio that is exposed to companies that are involved with companies without policies on anti-corruption and anti-bribery consistent with the United Nations Convention against Corruption.

PAI Identifier Table 3 #15 Eligible Holding Type Corporate

Portfolio Involvement (of Covered)



### Eligibility

97.11%


The portion of the portfolio which is eligible for this PAI. In this case the % invested in corporate securities.

Not Involved, 100%

**Benchmark Involvement (of Covered)** 



### Coverage



The portion of the portfolio which is both eligible for this PAI and covered by Sustainalytics' data.

### Largest portfolio constituents with anti bribery/corruption involvement

The table below shows the five largest contributors to the overall anti bribery/corruption involvement score of the portfolio.

Security	Industry	Portofolio Weight
Kloeckner & Co SE	Traders & Distributors	0.26%

### Largest portfolio constituents without anti bribery/corruption involvement

The table below shows the five largest contributors to the overall anti bribery/corruption not-involved score of the portfolio

Industry	Portofolio Weight
Transportation	2.13%
Energy Services	2.01%
Refiners & Pipelines	1.88%
Energy Services	1.84%
Semiconductors	1.83%
	Transportation  Energy Services  Refiners & Pipelines  Energy Services



# Cases of insufficient action taken to address breaches of standards of anti-corruption and anti-bribery

This metric tells you the % of the portfolio that is exposed to companies with identified insufficiencies in actions taken to address breaches in procedures and standards of anticorruption and anti-bribery.

PAI Identifier Table 3 #16 Eligible Holding Type Corporate

Portfolio Benchmark

### Eligibility



The portion of the portfolio which is eligible for this PAI. In this case the % invested in corporate securities.

### Coverage



The portion of the portfolio which is both eligible for this PAI and covered by Sustainalytics' data.

### Portfolio Involvement (of Covered)

### **Benchmark Involvement (of Covered)**



### Largest portfolio constituents with anti bribery/corruption lack of action involvement

The table below shows the five largest contributors to the overall anti bribery/corruption lack of action involvement score of the portfolio.

Security	Industry	Weight
Ringkjoebing Landbobank A/S	Banks	1.40%
D'leteren Group SA/NV	Diversified Financials	1.13%
GFT Technologies SE	Software & Services	0.95%
Nordnet AB	Banks	0.42%
Eurocommercial Properties NV	Real Estate	0.34%

# Largest portfolio constituents without anti bribery/corruption lack of action involvement

The table below shows the five largest contributors to the overall anti bribery/corruption lack of action not-involved score of the portfolio

Security Name	Industry	Weight	
Hapag-Lloyd AG	Transportation	2.13%	
Aker Solutions ASA	Energy Services	2.01%	
SARAS S.p.A Raffinerie Sarde	Refiners & Pipelines	1.88%	
Tenaris S.A.	Energy Services	1.84%	
ASM International N.V.	Semiconductors	1.83%	

Dawlafalia



### Number of convictions and amount of fines for violation of anti-corruption and anti-bribery laws

Measuring the number of convictions and total fines for violations of anticorruption and anti-bribery laws by investee companies for the portfolio.

PAI Identifier Table 3 #17 Eligible Holding Type Corporate


Portfolio Benchmark

### Eligibility



The portion of the portfolio which is eligible for this PAI. In this case the % invested in corporate securities.

### Coverage



The portion of the portfolio which is both eligible for this PAI and covered by Sustainalytics' data.

### Number of convictions (#)

### Amount of fines (EURm)



### **Largest Contributing Constituents**

The table below shows the five largest contributors to the count of convictions for violations of anti-corruption and anti-...

Security Name	Industry	Portfolio Weight	Number of convictions	Weighted Number of Convictions
Hapag-Lloyd AG	Transportation	2.13%	0.00	0.00
Aker Solutions ASA	Energy Services	2.01%	0.00	0.00
ASM International N.V.	Semiconductors	1.83%	0.00	0.00
SARAS S.p.A Raffinerie Sarde	Refiners & Pipelines	1.88%	0.00	0.00
Tenaris S.A.	Energy Services	1.84%	0.00	0.00

### **Largest Contributing Constituents**

The table below shows the five largest contributors to the total fines for violations of anti-corruption and anti-bribery laws.

Security Name	Industry	Portfolio Weight	Total fines	Weighted Total fines
Hapag-Lloyd AG	Transportation	2.13%	0.00	0.00
Aker Solutions ASA	Energy Services	2.01%	0.00	0.00
ASM International N.V.	Semiconductors	1.83%	0.00	0.00
SARAS S.p.A Raffinerie Sarde	Refiners & Pipelines	1.88%	0.00	0.00
Tenaris S.A.	Energy Services	1.84%	0.00	0.00



### Average income inequality score

This metric measures the distribution of income and economic inequality among the participants in a particular economy, shown as a weighted average for the portfolio.

PAI Identifier Table 3 #18 Eligible Holding Type Sovereign



### **Missing Data**



### Average freedom of expression score

This metric measures the extent to which political and civil society organisations can operate freely. This is based on the freedom of expression score for each nation and displayed as a weighted average for the portfolio.

PAI Identifier Table 3 #19 Eligible Holding Type Sovereign



### **Missing Data**



## Average human rights performance

 $\label{lem:measuring the weighted average of each corporate investment's \ human \ rights \ score.$ 

PAI Identifier Table 3 #20 Eligible Holding Type Sovereign



### **Missing Data**



# Average corruption score

This metric measures perceived level of public sector corruption using a quantitative indicator. This is displayed as a weighted average for the portfolio.

PAI Identifier Table 3 #21 Eligible Holding Type Sovereign



### **Missing Data**



### Non-cooperative tax jurisdictions

This metric tells you the % of the portfolio that is exposed to jurisdictions on the EU list of noncooperative jurisdictions for tax purposes.

PAI Identifier Table 3 #22 Eligible Holding Type Sovereign



#### Missing Data



### Average political stability score

This metric measures the likelihood that the current regime will be overthrown by the use of force. This is based on the political stability score for each nation and displayed as a weighted average for the portfolio.

PAI Identifier Table 3 #23 Eligible Holding Type Sovereign



### **Missing Data**



# Average rule of law score

This metric measures level of corruption, lack of fundamental rights, and the deficiencies in civil and criminal justice . This is based on the rule of law score for each nation and displayed as a weighted average for the portfolio.

PAI Identifier Table 3 #24 Eligible Holding Type Sovereign



### **Missing Data**

Connect with our team of experts to learn more about EU Sustainable Finance Action Plan Solutions

### Global Contacts

#### **Americas**

inquiries.americas@sustainalytics.com (+1) 347 630 9308

#### **EMEA**

inquiries.EMEA@sustainalytics.com (+44) 20 4526 5640

#### **APAC**

inquiries.APAC@sustainalytics.com (+65) 6329 7596

#### **JAPAN**

inquiries.Japan@sustainalytics.com (+813) 4567 0198

### **About Sustainalytics**

Sustainalytics, a Morningstar Company, is a leading independent ESG and corporate governance research, ratings and analytics firm that supports investors around the world with the development and implementation of responsible investment strategies. For more than 25 years, the firm has been at the forefront of developing high-quality, innovative solutions to meet the evolving needs of global investors. Today, Sustainalytics works with hundreds of the world's leading asset managers and pension funds who incorporate ESG and corporate governance information and assessments into their investment processes. Sustainalytics also works with hundreds of companies and their financial intermediaries to help them consider sustainability in policies, practices and capital projects. With 16 offices globally, Sustainalytics has more than 650 staff members, including more than 200 analysts with varied multidisciplinary expertise across more than 40 industry groups.

For more information, visit https://www.sustainalytics.com

### Disclaimer

Copyright © 2022 Sustainalytics. All rights reserved.

The information, methodologies, data and opinions contained or reflected herein are proprietary of Sustainalytics and/or its third party suppliers (Third Party Data), intended for internal, non-commercial use, and may not be copied, distributed or used in any way, including via citation, unless otherwise explicitly agreed in writing. They are provided for informational purposes only and (1) do not constitute investment advice; (2) cannot be interpreted as an offer or indication to buy or sell securities, to select a project or make any kind of business transactions; (3) do not represent an assessment of the issuer's economic performance, financial obligations nor of its creditworthiness.

These are based on information made available by third parties, subject to continuous change and therefore are not warranted as to their merchantability, completeness, accuracy or fitness for a particular purpose. The information and data are provided "as is" and reflect Sustainalytics` opinion at the date of their elaboration and publication. Sustainalytics nor any of its third-party suppliers accept any liability for damage arising from the use of the information, data or opinions contained herein, in any manner whatsoever, except where explicitly required by law. Any reference to third party names or Third-Party Data is for appropriate acknowledgement of their ownership and does not constitute a sponsorship or endorsement by such owner. A list of our third-party data providers and their respective terms of use is available on our website. For more information, visit https://www.sustainalytics.com/legal-disclaimers.